

E-filed 9/13/06

COOLEY GODWARD LLP
 JOHN C. DWYER (136533) (dwyerjc@cooley.com)
 JEFFREY S. KARR (186372) (jkarr@cooley.com)
 JEFFREY M. KABAN (235734) (jkaban@cooley.com)
 Five Palo Alto Square
 3000 El Camino Real
 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
 Telephone: (650) 843-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 843-0663

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
 Power Integrations, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

KATHRYN L. CHAMPLIN, Derivatively on
 Behalf of Nominal Defendant POWER
 INTEGRATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

BALU BALAKRISHNAN, HOWARD F.
 EARHART, JOHN M. COBB, ALAN D.
 BICKELL, NICHOLAS E. BRATHWAITE,
 R. SCOTT BROWN, STEVEN J. SHARP, E.
 FLOYD KVAMME, BALAKRISHNAN
 IYER, AND JAMES R. FIEBIGER,

Defendants,

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC., a Delaware
 corporation

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. C-06-04672-JF

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
 EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO
 RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

Courtroom: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

Trial Date: Not yet set

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1, the parties submit the following Stipulation and
 [Proposed] Order Extending Time for Defendants to Respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

WHEREAS, plaintiff filed the Complaint on August 1, 2006;

WHEREAS, plaintiff served Power Integrations, Inc. ("Power Integrations" or "the
 Company") with the complaint on August 21, 2006;

1 WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would be most efficient for the Court and the parties
2 for there to be a single date by which defendants shall respond to the Complaint;

3 WHEREAS, on August 24, 2006 Ms. Champlin filed a motion to consolidate this case
4 with *Quaco v. Balakrishnan, et al.*, Case No. C-06-02811-MHP, and to appoint Ms. Champlin as
5 lead plaintiff;

6 WHEREAS, the hearing on Ms. Champlin's Motion to Consolidate the Actions and
7 Appoint Kathryn L. Champlin Lead Plaintiff ("Motion to Consolidate") is set to be heard by the
8 Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel on October 23, 2006;

9 WHEREAS, as part of her proposed order Ms. Champlin requested time to file a
10 Consolidated Complaint;

11 WHEREAS, it is not an efficient use of the Court's or the parties' resources to require
12 defendants to respond to the initial complaint given the fact that plaintiff is seeking to file a
13 Consolidated Complaint;

14 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the undersigned, as
15 follows:

16 Defendants will not file a response to plaintiff's initial complaint on September 11, 2006.
17 Rather, if the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel decides not to consolidate *Quaco v. Balakrishnan, et*
18 *al.*, Case No. C-06-2811-MHP with *Champlin v. Balakrishnan, et al.*, Case No. C-06-0462-JF,
19 Defendants will have 30 days from the date of the order to respond to Ms. Champlin's Complaint.
20 If the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel consolidates the aforementioned cases, then the Defendants
21 will have 30 days from the date that the Consolidated Complaint is filed to respond.

22
23
24
25
26 //

27 //

28 //

1 Dated: September 8, 2006

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP

4 /s/

Monique Winkler

Attorneys for Plaintiff Kathryn L. Champlin

*Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order
No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, Jeffrey
M. Kaban hereby attests that concurrence in the
filing of this document has been obtained.*

10 Dated: September 8, 2006

COOLEY GODWARD LLP

13 /s/

John C. Dwyer

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
Power Integrations, Inc.

*Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No.
45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, Jeffrey M.
Kaban hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of
this document has been obtained.*

19 Dated: September 8, 2006

LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP

21 /s/

David Friedman

Attorneys for Defendants Balu Balakrishnan, Alan D.
Bickell, Nicholas E. Brathwaite, R. Scott Brown,
Balakrishnan S. Iyer, E. Floyd Kvamme

*Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No.
45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, Jeffrey M.
Kaban hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of
this document has been obtained.*

1 Dated: September 8, 2006

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

2
3 /s/

4 Leigh A. Kirmsse

5 Attorneys for Defendant
John M. Cobb

6 *Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No.*
7 *45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, Jeffrey M.*
8 *Kaban hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of*
9 *this document has been obtained.*

10 Dated: September 8, 2006

FENWICK & WEST LLP

11 /s/

12 Emmett C. Stanton

13 Attorneys for Defendant
Howard F. Earhart

14 *Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No.*
15 *45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, Jeffrey M.*
16 *Kaban hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of*
17 *this document has been obtained.*

18 Pursuant to the stipulation and good cause appearing, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Dated: 9/13, 2006

20
21 

22 HON. JEREMY FOGEL
23 United States District Judge
24 Northern District of California
25
26
27
28